BIOSTAT III: Survival Analysis for Epidemiologists: Take-home examination

Therese Andersson

5-14 February, 2024

Instructions

- The examination is individual-based: you are not allowed to cooperate with anyone, although you are encouraged to consult the available literature. The examiner will use Ouriginal (https://education.ki.se/disciplinary-matters) in order to assess potential plagiarism.
- The examination will be made available by noon on Wednesday 14 February 2024 and the examination is due by 17:00 on Wednesday 21 February 2024.
- The examination is in two parts. To pass the examination, you need to score at least 9/17 for Part 1 focused on rates and general regression modelling and 11/23 for Part 2 on survival analysis.
- Do not write answers by hand: please use Word, LATEX, Markdown or a similar format for your examination report and submit the report as a PDF file.
- Motivate all answers in your examination report. Define any notation that you use for equations. The examination report should be written in English.
- Email the examination report containing the answers as a PDF file to Gunilla Nilsson Roos (gunilla.nilsson.roos@ki.se). Write your name in the email, but do NOT write your name or otherwise reveal your identity in the document containing the answers.

1 Description of the data

In this exam we use data on breast cancer patients. The exposure variable of interest is hormonal therapy and we are interested on its effect on cancer-specific mortality (i.e. only deaths due to breast cancer are considered events, and the follow-up time for individuals that die due to other causes is censored at their time of death). Start of follow-up is at date of surgery, and the time-scale of interest is time since surgery. Follow-up is restricted to 10 years after surgery, so everyone still at risk after 10 years is censored at that point. We also have information on age at surgery and the number of positive lymph nodes (i.e. metastases in lymph nodes). Below is a description of the variables used in this exam:

. codebook hormon agegrp enodes d risktime hormon Hormonal therapy _____ Type: Numeric (byte) Label: adjhormo Range: [0,1] Units: 1 Unique values: 2 Missing .: 0/2,982 Tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label 0 no 2,643 339 1 yes Type: Numeric (float) Label: agelabel Range: [0,70] Units: 1 Unique values: 4 Missing .: 0/2,982 Tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label 0 <45 712

_____ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ agegrp Age group in 4 categories _____ 45 45-59 1,119 60 60-70 690 461 70 70+ _____ enodes Number of positive nodes (transformed as exp(-0.12 * nodes)) _____ Type: Numeric (float) Range: [.01690747,1] Units: 1.000e-09 Unique values: 28 Missing .: 0/2,982 Mean: .795889 Std. dev.: .263865 50% 90% Percentiles: 10% 25% 75% .88692 .339596 .618783 1 1

_____ d Indicator for death due to breast cancer, 1=yes, 0=no (censored) _____ Type: Numeric (float) Range: [0,1] Units: 1 Unique values: 2 Missing .: 0/2,982 Tabulation: Freq. Value 2,049 0 933 1 _____ risktime Follow-up time in exact years _____ Type: Numeric (float) Range: [.09856263,10] Units: 1.000e-09 Unique values: 1,663 Missing .: 0/2,982 Mean: 6.70772 Std. dev.: 2.92504 Percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90% 2.25051 4.39973 7.22382 9.73306 10 . stset risktime, failure(d==1) exit(time 10) Survival-time data settings Failure event: d==1 Observed time interval: (0, risktime] Exit on or before: time 10 _____ 2,982 total observations 0 exclusions _____ 2,982 observations remaining, representing 933 failures in single-record/single-failure data 20,002.424 total analysis time at risk and under observation At risk from t = 0 Earliest observed entry t = 0 Last observed exit t = 10

Part 1

$\mathbf{Q} \ \mathbf{1}$

Below is the output from a Poisson model with cancer-specific death as the outcome and hormonal therapy, age group at surgery and number of positive nodes as explanatory variables.

```
. poisson d i.hormon i.agegrp enodes, exp(risktime) irr
Iteration 0:
             \log likelihood = -2410.1377
Iteration 1:
             \log likelihood = -2409.9259
Iteration 2:
             \log likelihood = -2409.9259
Poisson regression
                                                  Number of obs = 2,982
                                                 LR chi2(5)
                                                              = 419.56
                                                  Prob > chi2
                                                              = 0.0000
Log likelihood = -2409.9259
                                                  Pseudo R2
                                                              = 0.0801
             _____
         d
                   IRR
                         Std. err.
                                          P>|z|
                                                   [95% conf. interval]
                                      z
-----+----+
     hormon
                         .094387
                                   -0.70
                                          0.482
       yes
               .9312767
                                                   .7634975
                                                              1.135926
     agegrp |
     45-59
           .8711058
                         .0726519
                                   -1.65
                                          0.098
                                                   .7397399
                                                                1.0258
     60-70
               .7458675
                                   -2.99
                                          0.003
           .0731375
                                                   .6154539
                                                              .9039156
       70+
           1
               .8362894
                         .0911259
                                   -1.64
                                          0.101
                                                   .6754696
                                                              1.035398
           .0107371
                                          0.000
     enodes
               .1007712
                                  -21.54
                                                    .081779
                                                              .1241742
      _cons
               .3080836
                           .03005
                                   -12.07
                                          0.000
                                                    .2544741
                                                              .3729869
```

. est store A

- a) Interpret the parameter for hormonal therapy ('hormon') in the output above, including a statement about statistical significance. (2 p)
- b) Interpret the parameter for age group '60-70' in the output above, including a statement about statistical significance. (2 p)
- c) Write out the model formulation (linear predictor) for the model above, make sure to explain your notation. (2 p)
- d) What is the hazard ratio comparing a patient who received hormonal therapy and had surgery aged '60-70' to a patient who had no hormonal therapy and had surgery aged '70+'? For this comparison assume that both patients had the same number of positive nodes. (2 p)
- e) Based on the output given so far, is it possible to judge if age is a confounder? If yes, is age a confounder (motivate your answer)? If no, why is it not possible to judge if age is a confounder based on the output above? (2 p)

$\mathbf{Q} \ \mathbf{2}$

A second Poisson model is fitted below, including interaction terms between hormonal therapy and age group. The model is also compared with the model fitted in Q1 using a likelihood-ratio test.

. poisson d i.hormon##i.agegrp enodes , exp(risktime) irr \log likelihood = -2409.7726 Iteration 0: Iteration 1: \log likelihood = -2409.5562 Iteration 2: \log likelihood = -2409.5562 Number of obs = 2,982Poisson regression LR chi2(8) = 420.30Prob > chi2 = 0.0000 Log likelihood = -2409.5562Pseudo R2 = 0.0802IRR Std. err. z P>|z| [95% conf. interval] d hormon yes .7148819 .3603596 -0.67 0.506 .2661695 1.92004 agegrp 45-59 .8611858 -1.74 0.081 .7280155 .073812 1.018716 60-70 .7346041 .0767013 -2.95 0.003 .5986568 .9014232 70+ .850833 .0980707 -1.40 0.161 .6787832 1.066492 hormon#agegrp .4868028 3.856416 .4804626 3.848888 .3929725 3.428123 .0820629 enodes .101147 .0107904 -21.48 0.000 .1246692 .3087932 .0301445 -12.04 0.000 .2550194 _cons .3739058 _____

. est store B . lrtest A B

Likelihood-ratio test Assumption: A nested within B

LR chi2(3) = 0.74 Prob > chi2 = 0.8639

- a) Interpret the parameter for hormonal therapy ('hormon') in the output above, including a statement about statistical significance. (2 p)
- b) What is the hazard ratio comparing a patient who received hormonal therapy and had surgery aged '60-70' to a patient who had no hormonal therapy and had surgery aged '60-70'? For this comparison assume that both patients had the same number of positive nodes. (2 p)
- c) Is there evidence of effect modification by age on the effect of hormonal therapy? Motivate your answer. (3 p)

Part 2

$\mathbf{Q} \ \mathbf{3}$

Below is a Kaplan-Meier graph of the survivor function for the 2 treatment groups, and the output from a log rank test.



. sts test hormon

Failure _d: d==1 Analysis time _t: risktime Exit on or before: time 10

Equality of survivor functions Log-rank test

	L	Observed	Ex	pected
hormon	I	events		events
	+ -			
no	1	808		847.10
yes	L	125		85.90
	+ -			
Total	I	933		933.00
		ch12(1)	=	19.72

Pr>chi2 = 0.0000

a) Based on the Kaplan-Meier graph, what is the 5-year survival for each of the 2 treatment groups (approximately)? (2 p)

- b) Based on the Kaplan-Meier graph, which of the 2 treatment groups has a better survival? (2 p)
- c) Based on the Kaplan-Meier graph, what can you conclude about the hazard rate of death for each treatment group? To get full marks on this question the answer has to include both the general pattern of the shape of the hazard functions as well as information on differences between the groups. (4 p)
- d) Would you say that the proportional hazards assumption is reasonable? Motivate your answer. (2 p)
- e) Based on the log-rank test, is there evidence of a difference in cancer-specific mortality between hormonal therapy and no hormonal therapy? (1 p)

$\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{4}$

Below is the output from a Cox model, and test of the proportional hazards assumption based on the Schoenfelds residuals from this model.

```
. stcox i.hormon i.agegrp enodes
       Failure _d: d==1
  Analysis time _t: risktime
 Exit on or before: time 10
Iteration 0:
            \log likelihood = -7142.741
Iteration 1:
            \log likelihood = -6973.6741
Iteration 2:
           \log likelihood = -6920.5774
           \log likelihood = -6920.4749
Iteration 3:
Iteration 4:
            \log likelihood = -6920.4749
Refining estimates:
Iteration 0:
            \log likelihood = -6920.4749
Cox regression with Breslow method for ties
No. of subjects =
                   2,982
                                             Number of obs = 2,982
No. of failures =
                    933
Time at risk = 20,002.4244
                                             LR chi2(5)
                                                        = 444.53
Log likelihood = -6920.4749
                                             Prob > chi2
                                                       = 0.0000
  _____
       _t | Haz. ratio Std. err. z P>|z| [95% conf. interval]
hormon
      yes
             .9360382 .0950292 -0.65 0.515 .7671446
                                                      1.142115
    agegrp
             .8660101 .0722287
.7406609 .0726702
.8488208 .0924905
    45-59
                               -1.72
                                     0.085
                                              .7354097
                                                        1.019804
    60-70
                                -3.06
                                      0.002
                                              .6110876
                                                        .8977086
      70+
          -1.50
                                      0.133
                                              .6855927
                                                        1.050911
             .0918915
                     .009867
    enodes
                                                        .1134161
                               -22.23
                                     0.000
                                              .074452
      _____
```

```
. estat phtest, detail
Test of proportional-hazards assumption
Time function: Analysis time
_____
                      rho chi2 df Prob>chi2
             . 1
   Ob.hormon .
                                                         .

      1.hormon |
      0.04241
      1.67
      1

      0b.agegrp |
      .
      1

      45.agegrp |
      0.02390
      0.53
      1

      60.agegrp |
      0.00089
      0.00
      1

      70.agegrp |
      0.05015
      2.35
      1

      enodes |
      0.09249
      6.72
      1

                                                   0.1965
                                                .
0.4663
0.9784
                                           1
                                                     0.1251
                                                     0.0095
    Global test
                    10.25 5
                                                     0.0683
_____
```

. // Schoenfeld residuals

- a) Is this model equivalent to the Poisson model in question 1 (Q1)? Motivate your answer.
 (2 p)
- b) Write out the model formulation (linear predictor) of the Cox model. (2 p)
- c) What is the hazard ratio comparing hormonal therapy to no hormonal therapy for patients within the same age category at surgery and the same number of positive nodes (enodes)?
 (2 p)
- d) Is there evidence of non-proportional hazards for any of the covariates in the model? Motivate your answer. (2 p)

$\mathbf{Q} \ \mathbf{5}$

- a) Give two reasons why it can be better to explore differences in survival outcomes using a regression model instead of a log-rank test. (2 p)
- b) Explain why informative censoring might be a problem when interpreting the Kaplan-Meier graph in Q3, but less of a problem in the Cox regression in Q4. Motivate your answer (2 p)